EUSurvey

Home All public surveys

About | Support | Download | Documentation

_____V

Towards a European Area of Skills and Qualifications

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

The questions presented below are related to the issues discussed in the background document (link) to the Stakeholder consultation on the European Area of Skills and Qualifications.

The European Commission wishes to collect the views of stakeholders on the problems faced by Europeans with regard to the transparency and recognition of their skills and qualifications when moving within and between EU Member States, on the adequacy of the related European policies and instruments and on the potential benefits of developing a "European Area of Skills and

Fields marked with * are mandatory. All questions in sections 1-7 are optional and respondents are free to choose to reply only to some of the proposed questions and focus on particular Issues, according to their interests.

Stakeholders are also invited to submit a position paper with their wiews on the European Area of Skills an Qualifications at the end of the questionnaire.

Views

Normal Enhanced Contrast

Languages

English

Contact

EAC-EASQ-CONSULTATION-A3@ec.europa.eu

Download PDF version

Identification of the respondent

You are replying:*

- In your personal capacity
- On behalf of an organisation

What is the name of your organisation?*

Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science on behalf of other Dutch departments

Has

your organisation been registered in the European Transparency Register?

organisations and self employed individuals, irrespective of their legal status, engaged in activities falling within the scope of the register are in principle expected to register. Your contribution will not be valid if your organisation is not registered while it should.*

- Yes
- No

Which is your main area of activity:**

- Education and Training
- Employment
- C Other (please specify)

•	IVEY Home All public surveys main area of activity is Education and hing, in which specific sector do you work?	ŧ				1	About Support Download Documentation
()	Higher Education						
£,	Vocational and Educational Training						
0	Secondary Education						
Ç	Primary Education						
Ç	Adult Learning						
Ċ	Youth						
C	Non-Formal and Informal Education						
	Cross-sectoral						
	are responding in your personal capacity, p	olease					
2	University Professor						
0	Teacher						
200 100	Guidance counselor						
(*)	Consultant						
0	Student						
7	Other (please specify)						
Who d	o you work for or who do you represent?*						
•	Public authority or government C E	Education	n and training instit	tution	s		
(_)	Employers C F	ederation	ns of employers				
		oblic an	d private employm	ent s	ervices		
E)			d youth work organ	nisati	ons		
0	Voluntary sector organisations C E	Experts					
Please	specify level;*						
-59	European level						
Ö	National level						
25	Regonal level						
	Local level						
	e the country where you						
_	y reside or where your organisation is prind Austria	, ,	sed:" Belgium	00%	Bulgaria	-	Croatia
_	Cyprus		Czech Republic		Denmark		Estonia
	Finland		France	200	Germany		Greece
	Hungary		Ireland		Italy		Latvia
	Lithuania		Luxembourg	-	Malta		Netherlands
-	Poland		Portugal	Α,	Romania		Slovakia
0	Slovenia		Spain		Sweden	-	UK
- 10	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedon		Iceland		Liechtenstein		Norway
0	Switzerland		Turkey		Albania		Bosnia and Herzegovina
€,	Kosovo		Montenegro		Serbia		Other (please specify)
			•				

EUSurvey All public surveys

Received

contributions, together with the identity of the contributor, may be published on Directorate General Education and Culture website, unless the contributor objects to publication of the personal data on the grounds that such publication would harm his or her legitimate interests. In this case the contribution

may be published in anonymous form. Otherwise the contribution will not be published nor will, in principle, its content be taken into

Do not publish my data

1. How to place a stronger focus on higher and more relevant skills

Should curricula and assessment practices be more focused on boosting transversal skills such as digital, language and entrepreneurial competences?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- : Strongly disagree
- No opinion

2. Would it be useful to develop

reference frameworks describing learning outcomes per level and competence, following the example of the language competence framework?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

Would it be useful to have more hands-on experts from the employers' side involved in the design of the curricula?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

4. What has been the effectiveness

and value of the European Key Competences Framework so far in promoting the competences that it refers to?

In our qualification dossiers and curricula most key competences were already used when the recommandion was published. The eight key competences have not functioned as an important incentive.__

EUSurvey Home All public surveys 5. Could any European initiatives, other than the European Key Competences Framework, be more effective? If yes, which one(s)? The EQF (European Qalifications Framework) can be more effective.	About Support Download Documentation
Further strengthening links between education/training, mobility and the labour market	
6. To help individuals take advantage of available opportunities in a wider and more open context, career guidance policles and practices are crucial. Are you aware of the European policles on career guidance? Totally aware Partially aware Partially unaware Totally unaware No opinion	
7. Is it useful to be able to use a common multilingual European terminology (such as ESCO) to support describing learning outcomes of education and training programmes in terms of knowledge, skills competences relevant to the labour market? Very useful Not very useful Not useful No opinion	
Should forecasts on skills supply and needs be better integrated into education and training strategies in order to reduce skills mismatches?	
Strongly agree	
Partially agree	
○ Partially disagree	
ℂ Strongly disagree	
C No opinion	

_USURVEY Home All public survey:	USurvey	Home	All public survey
---	---------	------	-------------------

9,

Several sectoral skills and qualification passports have been developed that promote the recognition of skills, experiences and qualifications, facilitating transnational mobility within the same sector. They can play a role in the phase of identification and documentation of skills. Do sectoral skills and qualifications passports or cards have added value compared to more general European documentation tools such as Europass, e.g. for cross border mobility of learners and workers?

On a scale from 3 to 0, meaning 3= high added value; 0= no added value

- ∵ 3
- . 2
- **9** 1
- C 0
- . No opinion
- 10. Is better integration between

these passports and the Europass framework needed?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion
- 11. How can guidance services be organised to best support learners and workers in their educational, training and occupational choices and facilitate their participation in the labour market?

First and foremost on a national level. To a limited extent, European initiatives such as Euroguidance can be of additional value.

12. What new

features should initiatives such as EQF, ESCO, European Skills Panorama and the sector skills alliances include in order to raise the understanding of skills needs and on the communication between education and the labour market?

We do not need more / new features but further implementation of the existing instruments is required.

Fully suitableSomewhat suitableNot very suitableNot suitableNo opinion

USurvey Home All public surveys 13. If you	About Support Download Documentation
think that better integration between sectoral passports and the Europass	
framework is needed, please give your suggestions on how it could be achieved.	
F.l. (work)experience as a volunteer could be integrated in Europass.	
Adapting to internationalisation trends	
14. A number of qualifications awarded at international level (e.g. by international	
sectoral organisations and multinational companies) are often valued in the	
labour market. Is European level coordination needed to facilitate the	
recognition of such qualifications throughout Europe?	
Strongly agree	
Partially agree	
Partially disagree	
Strongly disagree	
○ No opinion	
15. Should criteria and procedures be developed for the inclusion of international qualifications in National Qualification Frameworks (NQFs) and the European Qualification Framework (EQF)?	
Support inclusion through the NQFs	
Support inclusion through the EQF	
Don't include neither NQFs nor EQF	
○ No opinion	
16.	
An increasing number of study programmes, such as Masters or PhDs are being	
jointly developed by two or more higher education institutions in different countries offering joint degrees. Are existing recognition arrangements suitable	
for the recognition of these degrees?	

EUSurvey Home All public surveys

17. What further steps could be taken at EU level to promote mutual recognition of qualifications, credits or learning outcomes between the EU and third countries? Could the EQF be useful in this context? If yes,

Right now the EQF is not yet implemented fully enough to be effective. Most countries have referenced their system to the EQF, but the challange for the near future is to get the levels on diplomas, certificates and supplements and to make this transparant using ict (one website linking to all national frameworks).

About | Support | Download | Documentation

18

What further steps could be taken at EU level to promote the recognition of joint degrees offered by European higher education institutions in cooperation with institutions from other parts of the world?

4. Ensuring overall coherence of tools and policies and further implementing the learning outcomes approach

19.

In your opinion, are the current tools seen altogether clear and understandable?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

20. The learning outcomes approach (what a learner knows, understands and is able to do on completion of a learning process) is a key common principle in European transparency and recognition tools. Its transparent use and full implementation can significantly improve the understanding of and trust in qualifications within and across borders, eventually making the recognition of qualifications smoother.

20.a. Should qualifications

and study programmes be systematically described in terms of learning outcomes (knowledge skills and competences to be acquired)?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

EUSurvey Home All public surveys 20.b. Would a common definition of "unit of learning outcomes" and "credit" between higher education and vocational education and training be desirable? Strongly agree Partially agree Partially disagree Strongly disagree No opinion	About Support Download Documentation
20.c. Could the use of the learning outcomes approach support the validation of non-formal and informal learning? Strongly agree Partially agree Partially disagree Strongly disagree No oplnion	
20.d. Do you consider the current European tools for the documentation of learning experiences satisfactory or unsatisfactory? Totally satisfactory Somewhat satisfactory Totally unsatisfactory Totally unsatisfactory No opinion	
21. Do you consider the possible integration of Europass tools and self-assessment tools to document non-formal and informal learning outcomes (such as the Youthpass) in a common framework useful? Very useful Somewhat useful Not very useful Not opinion	
22. Would you support a development towards a single supplement documenting learning outcomes acquired in formal education bringing closer together the Diploma Supplement (for higher education) and the Certificate Supplement (for Vocational Education and Training)? ▼ Yes No	

EUSurvey Home All public surveys

23. What are, in your opinion, the obstacles of basing both curricula design and assessment practices on a learning outcomes

approach?

If the learning outcomes approach is not the basis of each instrument, an integrated approach will be difficult. As long as the ECTS (higher education) is not based on learning outcomes, integration with ECVET will be a problem.

24. What actions are needed at EU level to enhance the synergies between the European Qualification Framework and the Qualification Framework for the European Higher Education Area and to build a common reference

for all qualifications levels for all participating countries? Should the adoption by all countries of a single referencing process combining EQF referencing and QF-EHEA self-certification be promoted?

The EQF already includes higher education. In principle all EU Member States referenced or will be referencing their entire educational system to the EQF.

25. Do you have any further suggestions for simplifying and for improving the coherence of the European transparency and recognition tonle?

First of all we have to realise that implemention takes time. Further more we already are implementing the tools in coherence. Additionally, we feel it would be advantageous to integrate ECTS and ECVET.

And important is that the people who work in practice should be involved, right now the focus is to much on civil servants meetings and high levels meetings.

5. Ensuring clarity of rules and procedures for the recognition of skills and qualifications for further learning

26. In order to enable individuals to move more freely between the different sub-systems of education and training, within and across countries, the recognition of skills and competences needs to be improved.

While respecting national competences, should European criteria and procedures for the recognition of qualifications for further learning be developed in the areas of adult learning and general education?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

EUSurvey Home All public surveys	About Support Download Documentation
28.b. For the purposes of further	About Support Download Documentation
learning (and in the context of the autonomy of higher education institutions),	
are the criteria used by higher education institutions for recognising qualifications acquired in another Member State sufficiently clear, transparent	
and accommodating of learners' needs?	
Strongly agree	
C Partially agree	
Partially disagree	
○ Strongly disagree	
No opinion	
26.c. Should European criteria and	
procedures for the recognition of vocational qualifications for further learning	
within and across Member States be developed?	
○ Strongly agree	
Partially agree	
C Partially disagree	
Strongly disagree	
. No opinion	
26.d. Would a European system of	
recognition of skills, competences and qualifications in school education help Improving mobility and employability of young people?	
Strongly agree	
? Partially agree	
C Partially disagree	
Strongly disagree	
: No opinion	
27.	
To which extent are validation systems and credit systems suitable to recognise	
the outcomes of new forms of learning such as digital learning (e.g. Massive Open Online Courses, MOOCs)?	
Fully suitable	
Somewhat suitable	
Not very suitable	
○ Not suitable	
O No opinion	
Ne opiner	
28.	
Would it be desirable to develop common criteria and procedures for recognition	
that could apply to all education and training sub-systems (for vocational education and training, schools, adult education), and all qualifications	
related to European qualifications frameworks (including those obtained through	
validation of non-formal and informal learning, open on-line courses, private	
qualifications, etc.)?	
Strongly agree	
C Partially agree	
Cr Partially disagree	
Strongly disagree	
No opinion No opinion No opinion	

EUSurvey Home All public surveys

29.

Which measures, if any, should be taken at the EU level to improve the recognition of learning outcomes related to new forms of learning such as learning through Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs)?

If learning outcomes are at the basis of recognition systems, no additional measures are

About | Support | Download | Documentation

30.

In case you agree with question 28, please provide your suggestions here on how such criteria could be developed.

We strongly disagreed in our answers under question 26 (!) and 28 because the EQF is already based on learning outcomes.

6. Increasing the focus on quality assurance

31. In order to enable learners to assemble their tailor-made learning pathways by selecting learning opportunities from different sub-systems and forms of delivery, it is necessary to develop a common understanding of quality across countries and different education sub-systems.

31.a. Is it possible to identify

some common basic principles and guidelines of quality assurance valid across sectors and applicable to all qualifications?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

31.b. Should there be a core

of common European quality assurance principles for the provision of learning opportunities in all sectors of education and training?

- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree
- No opinion

EUSurvey	Home	All public surveys
----------	------	--------------------

32

In your opinion, to which extent are existing quality assurance principles and credit systems suitable to support new forms of learning, such as digital learning (e.g. Massive Open Online Courses, MOOCs)?

- Fully suitable
- Somewhat suitable
- Not very suitable
- Not suitable
- : No opinion

33.

If you agree with question 31.b, please provide here your suggestions on what could be the common basic principles and guidelines for quality assurance applicable to all qualifications.

We feel that (the awareness of the importance of) quality assurance can be fostered on a European level. But essentially, it is a national responsability. Common basic principles and guidelines can be interesting for countries that want to develop their quality culture, but should not interfere with existing national practices that are working well already.

7. Providing

learners and workers with a single access point to obtain information and services supporting a European area of skills and qualifications

- 34. Could learners and workers benefit from a one-stop shop providing integrated services - including their supporting platforms covering the full range of European services on learning opportunities, career guidance and recognition of qualifications for employment purposes or further learning?
 - Strongly agree
 - Partially agree
 - Partially disagree
 - Strongly disagree
 - . No opinion

35.

In your opinion, to which extent is it desirable to create integrated service-points for learners and workers covering the full range of European services currently spread over the networks of ENIC/NARIC, Europass, Euroguidance, NQF-NCP?

- Highly desirable
- Somewhat desirable
- Not very desirable
- Not desirable
- No opinion

EUSurvey Home All public surveys About | Support | Download | Documentation 36. Do you consider the current landscape of webtools in the area of skills and qualifications (Europass Portal, Your Europe, Study in Europe, We mean Business, Ploteus, EQF Portal, European Skills Panorama, ESCO) to be effective/ineffective? Highly effective Somewhat effective Somewhat ineffective Totally ineffective No opinion **ESCO** Europass Portal Your Europe Study in Europe We mean Business **Ploteus EQF** Portal European Skills Panorama

37. Do you consider

it useful/not useful to provide individuals with self-assessment tools for measurement of knowledge skills and competences?

- Very useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not very useful
- O Not useful
- O No opinion

38. If you are working in the ENIC/NARIC, Europass, Euroguidance, NQF-NCP networks, please answer the following:

38.a. How

frequently do you work with the following networks?

	Very often	Somewhat often	Sometimes	Almost never	Never	This is my network
National Agency	r	•			6	
ENIC/NARIC	0	•			(
Europass	C	•			C	n.
Euroguidance	C	•			€	9
NQF-NCP	•			200	C	
Eurodesk	C	•			C	5
Eurea Advisers	C				C	2
Europe Direct	(-	7

EUSurvey Home All public surveys

38.b. How would you rate your

contacts with other European services in your country in terms of cooperation?

On a scale from 3 to 0, meaning 3= high cooperation; 0= no cooperation

		3	2	1	0	No opinion	This is my network
	National Agency	6				6	
	ENIC/NARIC	E	۱		C	-	
!	Europasa	C	a				
	Euroguidance		•		Ţ		
	NQF-NCP						
	Eurodesk		7			*	
	Eures Advisers	4					
	Europe Direct				ř.		

39.

If you agree with question 34, please provide here your suggestions on what could be the features of this one-stop shop.

The ESCO-website should include the possibility for citizens to raise questions and receive answers on the existing European tools.

Thank you for completing

the questionnaire. If you wish to submit a position paper, please upload your file here or send it to EAC-EASQ-CONSULTATION-A3@ec.europa.eu.

PDF

and Office documents can be uploaded. The size is limited to 2MB per document.

Select file to upload

Submit Save as Draft

The EUSurvey project has been funded by the ISA programme for the promotion of European eGovernment

User guide (pdf) | Support