

Home (https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/home/welcome:JSESSIONIDEUSURVEY=6133E0A3A700E4BC47B58F1A3F46DC26) Menu

☑ Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using a public/shared computer)

Views

Standar

**Public** Consultation on the Joint Programming on Metrology Research (EMRP and

Langu [EN] En

Conta

RTD-EM (mallto:

The file downloa

Down

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## **Pages**

**ÈMPIR**)

Introduction A. Responder Profile

B. Relevance of metrology research C. Objectives EMRP

D. EMPIR Objectives E. Final questions

### Introduction

Metrology is the science of measurements, and it is a key support to our society and our daily lives. Metrology is needed to ensure quality and safety. It enables technological innovation and progress. We also need metrology for our trade, our health, and our energy supplies. Research in metrology is essential to remain competitive, to define measurements for new and emerging technologies, and to safeguard the quality in any measurement and its application.

The metrology initiatives, set up under Article 185 TFEU, EMRP and its successor EMPIR target joint programming metrology research across Europe. Under these two initiatives the participating states commit to integrate their national metrology programmes into a single joint research programme. The total budget for EMRP is 400M€ and for EMPIR the budget is increased to 600 M€. The European Commission provides half of the funding to the initiatives, while the participating states commit to provide the other half.

The structure of a public-public partnership has allowed EMRP to pool national commitments, and coordinate the research actions, which aims to reduce duplication and reinforce European metrology collaborations. These collaborations have been further integrated in EMPIR, where additional countries have joined as participating states. In addition, EMPIR aims to include participants also outside the metrology community.

The consultation gives the opportunities to provide your view on the state of play of the European metrology research system and the challenges it is facing. It is specifically seeking input to analyse the experiences of their preparation and implementation, identify critical issues that need to be addressed and propose if necessary adjustments, and assess how the instrument can best contribute to the policy developments.

Overall this consultation consists of 5 sections (A through E). It should not take longer than 15 minutes to complete this questionnaire.

## Additional information

Any participant eligible for Horizon 2020 funding can also participate as a funded partner in the metrology initiatives. For more information on participation in Horizon 2020, please go to the Participant Portal (http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/funding/index.html).

For information on current and planned calls in EMPIR, please click here (http://msu.euramet.org/).

Countries participating with a financial commitment in EMRP: Austria, Belgium, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom

Countries participating with a financial commitment in EMPIR: Austria, Belgium, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, United Kingdom

Save as Draft



Home (https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/home/weicome:JSESSIONIDEUSURVEY=6133E0A3A700E4BC47B58F1A3F46DC26) Go to content

oxditsin Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using a public/shared computer) **Public** Consultation on the Joint Programming on Metrology Research (EMRP and **ÈMPIR**)

**Views** Standar

Langu [EN] En

Conta RTD-EM

(mailto:

The file downloa

Down

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

**Pages** 

Introduction A. Responder Profile

B. Relevance of metrology research C. Objectives EMRP

D. EMPIR Objectives E. Final questions

# Information about respondent profile

In this section you are asked to provide information to help us build the profile of respondents, such as their background and affiliation. Please be aware that in accordance with Regulation 45/2001, all personal data collected through this survey will be kept securely and ultimately erased.

\*A.1. Please enter your

organisation's name or your personal name (for individuals).

100 character(s) maximum (71 characters left) Ministry of Economic Affairs

A.2. Please enter your address. (optional)

100 character(s) maximum (100 characters left)

\*A.3. Please enter your

e-mail address

@ j.m.vanspronssen@minez.nl

contributions together with the identity of the contributor may be published on the Commission's website. Do you agree to your contribution being published under your name?

- O My contribution can be published under the name indicated
- My contribution can be published anonymously
- O I do not agree that my contribution is published

A.5. Please enter your

current country of residence or where your organisation is based.

Netherlands

If other country, please specify:

100 character(s) maximum (100 characters left)

A.6. Whom do you

represent?			
National administration			
*			
A.7. What aspect of			
metrology are you/is your organisation inv	olved in?		
☐ Metrology research ☐ Take-up / use of metrology ☑ Standardisation / regulatory work			
Other, please specify below.			
☐ No Involvement			
If other type of involvement, please specify:			
100 character(s) maximum (19 characters left			
The ministry of Economic Affairs is responsib	ole for metrology in all its aspect	s.	
			,
*			
A.8. What is your level of familiarity with the metrology initiatives EMPIR?	EMRP and/or		
Fair			
rdir			
*A.9. Have you participated in an action under EMRP and/or EMPIR?  O Yes, under EMRP O Yes, under EMPIR			
O Yes, in projects under both programmes  No			
A.9b. If you are not involved in EMRP/EMPIR projects, how did you find ou programmes?	t about the activities within th	ie	
☐ Through the metrology institutes ☐ In a conference ☐ At a scientific workshop, or training even		*	1
☐ In a scientific publication ☐ Through media (TV, newspapers, magazi			
☑ Other (please specify below)			
If other, please			
specify			
100 character(s) maximum (42 characters lead The Netherlands is one of the participating			
	and the second second of the s		
	Previous Next Sa	ive as Draft	
*			



. Home (https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/home/welcome:)SESSIONIDEUSURVEY=6133E0A3A700E4BC47B58F1A3F46DC26) Menu Go to content

☑ Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using a public/shared computer)

**Views** Standar

Langu

[EN] En

Conta

RTD-EM (mailto:

The file downloa

Down

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

**Pages** 

**Public** 

**ÈMPIR**)

Consultation on the Joint

Introduction A. Responder Profile

Programming on Metrology Research (EMRP and

B. Relevance of metrology research C. Objectives EMRP

D. EMPIR Objectives E. Final questions

# B. Relevance of metrology

research

\*B.1. How relevant is the

European-wide joint programming (among national metrology institutions with EU co-funding) for strategic metrology research?

Very relevant

In your view, please estimate the impact of metrology research in addressing the following policy topics:

	Very relevant	Relevant	Neutral	Irrelevant	Very irrelevant	No . opinion
*B.2. Grand Challenges such as health, energy, climate change, and/or new and emerging technologies	•	0	0	0	0	0
*B.3. The European economy and industrial competitiveness	•	0	0	0	0	0
*B.4. Support for European policy development	0	0	•	0	0	0
*B.5. Support for standardisation and regulatory work	0	•	0	0	0	0
*B.6. Raising Europe's profile as a knowledge hub for metrology research	0	•	0	0	0	0

# B.7. Please rate the following aspects of added value of

European metrology research in general.

j.	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion
*Leverage effect (1)	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Societal impact	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Cooperation in Europe	•	0	0	0	0	0
*Scientific outreach/excellence (2)	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Public outreach	0	Ö	•	0	0	0

- (1) By leverage effect it is meant the return of additional investment beyond the public funding in the research programmes.
- (2) Scientific outreach relates to the scientific uptake of technology and knowledge developed in the metrology programmes by other scientific sectors.

Previous Next

ave as Drai



Home (https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/home/welcome:JSESSIONIDEUSURVEY=6133E0A3A700E4BC47B58F1A3F46DC26) Menu

☑ Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using a public/shared computer)

**Views** 

Standar

Langu

[EN] En

Conta

RTD-EM (mailto:

> The file downloa

> > Down

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

(EMRP and

**EMPIR**)

**Pages** 

**Public** 

Consultation on the Joint

Introduction A. Responder Profile

Programming on Metrology Research

B. Relevance of metrology research

C. Objectives EMRP

D. EMPIR Objectives E. Final questions

C. Objectives -**EMRP** 

In your view, how well did EMRP address the following thematic topics through the selected projects and grants?

	Very well	Well	Neutral	Not well	No opinion
*Environment	0	•	0	0	0
*Health	0	•	0	0	0
*Industry	0	•	0	0	0
*Energy	0	•	0	0	0
*SI Broader Scope (1)	0	•	0	0	0
*New Technologies (2)	•	0	0	0	0
*Open Excellence (3)	0	•	0	0	0

- (1) SI Broader Scope are developing the SI system of measurement units. The projects focus on preparations for the implementation of the redefinition of the kilogram and support developments of practical realisations of the redefined base units and affected derived units.
- (2) New Technology projects support new scientific and technical developments with a suitable measurement infrastructure, stimulate technological innovation and improve the data needed for policy making and regulation.
- (3) Open Excellence projects are developing the measurement methods of future and emerging technologies. The projects have no specific strategic theme but targets new techniques that have not yet been applied in measurement science.
- \*C.2 In your opinion, how

successful was EMRP on the objective of increasing participation from the wider European research community through Researcher Grants?

Remotely successful

\*C.3. In your view, how

efficient has EMRP been in contributing to metrology training and capacity building through the Researcher (Mobility) Grants?

- O Very efficient
- O Efficient
- O Neutral

- Not efficient
- O No opinion

C.4. In your view, has EMRP contributed to the following societal topics on a European and/or regional level?

	Very well	Well	Neutral	Not well	No opinion
*Competitiveness	•	0	0	0	0
*Growth	0	.0	0	0	•
*Innovation Capacity	•	0	0	0	Ó
*Employment	0 .	0	0	0	•
*Development of human capital/training	0	0	•	0	0

### \*C.5. What are the key

achievements/strengths of EMRP?

500 character(s) maximum (287 characters left)

There is more collaboration between the NMI's and research has been taken up which went above the capability of a single institute.

Involvement of stakeholders via road mapping was the basis for the programme.

C.6. Are there any shortcomings in EMRP that you think

should be corrected?

500 character(s) maximum (284 characters left)

Involvement of stakeholders like industry and universities was not encouraged.

To much an programme among standards institutes.

Capacity building was not very successful and standardisation was not very visible.

C.6b. According to your experience have these shortcomings already been addressed to in the Horizon 2020 Programme EMPIR?

500 character(s) maximum (260 characters left)

Pre-normative research is one of the topic in EMPIR. Also capacity building is addressed more strongly. However, there seems to be tension between the aim of specialisation and capacity building in the countries with less developed areas.

Previous

Next | Save as Draft



Home (https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/home/welcome:)SESSIONIDEUSURVEY=6133E0A3A700E4BC47B58F1A3F46DC26) Menu

oxditsize Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using a public/shared computer)

**Views** 

Standar

Langu

[EN] En

Conta

RTD-EM (mailto:

The file solnwob

Down

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

**Pages** 

**Public** 

**ÈMPIR**)

(EMRP and

Introduction A. Responder Profile

Consultation on the Joint

B. Relevance of metrology research C. Objectives EMRP

D. EMPIR Objectives E. Final questions

Programming on Metrology Research

## D. Objectives -**EMPIR**

In your view, please estimate the effectiveness of EMPIR in contributing to the following thematic topics:

	Very efficient	Efficient	Neutral	Inefficient	Very inefficient	No opinion
Fundamental metrology (Call planned in 2017) (1)	0	. 💿	0	0	0	0
*Broadening of SI (2)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Energy (Call currently open)	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Health	0	•	0	. 0	0 .	0
Environment (Call currently open)	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Industry	0	•	0	0	0	0

European measurement science to an internationally leading position through basic research.

In your view, please estimate the effectiveness of EMPIR in contributing to the following goals:

<u> </u>	Very efficient	Efficient	Neutral	Inefficient	Very inefficient	No opinion
*Supporting innovation and industrial competitiveness	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Structuring the interaction between the metrology and science communities across Europe	0	•	0	0	0	0
*Promoting global metrology cooperation	0	0	•	0	0	0
*Encouraging open access to scientific publications and research data	0	•	0	0	0	0

<sup>(2)</sup> SI Broadening is continuing the work of the EMRP SI Broader Scope in developing the SI system of measurement units. The projects focus on preparations for the implementation of the redefinition of the kilogram and support developments of practical realisations of the redefined base units and affected derived units.

participation in EMPIR to external partners outside the metrology community contribute effectively to the programme objectives?  Yes STPRE- and co-normative research* is to develop metrological methods and techniques required for standardisation. Do the pre- and co-normative calls in EMPIR support standardisation activities effectively?  Yes STPRE- IN the contribution to capacity building actions in the Participating states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral STPRE- Neutral STPRE- Should any of the strengths be relinforced?  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be relinforced?  *Do Character(s) maximum (322 characters left)  Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	*D.3. Does the opening of	tolda tha matualagu agus su	-Thur		, E
*D.4. The aim of  *Pre- and co-normative research" is to develop metrological methods and techniques required for standardisation. Do the pre- and co-normative calls in  EMPIR support standardisation activities effectively?  Yes  *D.5. How effective is  EMPIR in its contribution to capacity building actions in the Participating states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced? SOO character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	contribute effectively to the programme object	tside the metrology commun	iity		
*D.4. The aim of  "Pre- and co-normative research" is to develop metrological methods and techniques required for standardisation. Do the pre- and co-normative calls in EMPIR support standardisation activities effectively?  Yes	Committee of the Commit	.tives:			
*Pre- and co-normative research* is to develop metrological methods and techniques required for standardisation. Do the pre- and co-normative calls in EMPIR support standardisation activities effectively?  Yes	Yes				
*Pre- and co-normative research* is to develop metrological methods and techniques required for standardisation. Do the pre- and co-normative calls in EMPIR support standardisation activities effectively?  Yes	*D.4. The aim of				
*D.5. How effective is  EMPIR in its contribution to capacity building actions in the Participating states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	"Pre- and co-normative research" is to develo techniques required for standardisation. Do the	ne pre- and co-normative cal			
*D.5. How effective is  EMPIR in its contribution to capacity building actions in the Participating states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left)  Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.		dvery			
EMPIR in its contribution to capacity building actions in the Participating states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	Yes				
EMPIR in its contribution to capacity building actions in the Participating states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	*D F Ham off-ablus to				
states (in particular within the Research Potential calls), within the objective of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left)  Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
of developing their scientific and technical capabilities in metrology?  Neutral  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.			h		
*D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  *D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  *D.0 character(s) maximum (322 characters left)  Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  *D.0 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	The state of the supplementation of the state of the stat		ive	*	
*D.6. What are the key achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.		Jabilities III			
achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	processes and the second of the second of the contract of the				
achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	The same of the sa				
achievements/strengths of EMPIR? Should any of the strengths be reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics. It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
reinforced?  500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left) Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.		y of the strengths be			
Participation of external partners broadens the choose of research topics.  It would be good if the next programme could be based again on road mapping with external partners.  D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	500 character(s) maximum (322 characters left)	197			
D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
D.7. According to you, what are the shortcomings in EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.		be based agaln on road mappir	ng with external		
EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.	partners.				
EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
EMPIR?  500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left)  Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
500 character(s) maximum (429 characters left) Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.		ngs in			
Administrate burden before a project actually can start could be less.					
Previous Next Save as Draft				.00	
Previous Next Save as Draft					
Previous Next Save as Draft					
Previous Next Save as Draft					
Previous Next Save as Draft					
Previous Next Save as Draft					
Previous Next Save as Draft		Angelia and on the second decision of the sec	of Maddadad		
		Previous Next Sav	re as Draft		

o gas myulikim	U /1-1	Hoe ourses and		me/welcome:JSESSIONI	DELICIONEV_C43	25042470054504	TRESET ADEACHER	51
	Home (https://www.ntps	://ec.europa.eu/e	eusurvey/nor	Menu Go to conte		3EUA3A7UUE4BC4	PPSOFIASF40DC2	01
		to the same of the same	(****)			II 7a		Vi
e de li e	M Save a	васкир оп уо	ur iocai co	mputer (disable if y	ou are using a	public/snareu	computer)	Sta
ublic	Halion on the 1	الماما						
	Itation on the J		Doce	aarch				La
EMRP	mming on Met	lology	Rest	earch				[E
MPIR	ariu 1				19			C
METK	.)	411						RI
elds marked	with * are mandatory.	pil .			4			<u>(n</u>
						*		Th
Pages	Introduction A. Responder	Profile						do
	B. Relevance of metrology re	search C. Ob	jectives El	MRP				
	D EMPIR Objectives E Fin	al questions						
aborton the ne-	D. EMPIR Objectives E. Fin	al questions						
	D. EMPIR Objectives E. Fin	al questions		. 7	4			
	2	al questions						
. Final uestions	2	al questions						
uestions								
uestions	2			5			<u>.</u>	
uestions	ou be in favour of future Europea					7 8	<u>.</u>	
1. Would youresearch pro	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology?						<u>.</u>	
1. Would youresearch pro	ou be in favour of future Europea	n-wide				9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. Would youresearch pro	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology? anave any further comments?	n-wide					<u> </u>	
1. Would youresearch pro	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology? anave any further comments?	n-wide			772			
1. Would youresearch pro	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology? anave any further comments?	n-wide						
1. Would youresearch pro Yes 2. Do you i	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology?   anave any further comments?  er(s) maximum (500 characters left)	n-wide					The state of the s	
1. Would youresearch pro Yes 2. Do you i	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology? anave any further comments?	n-wide						
1. Would youresearch pro Yes 2. Do you 1	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology?   anave any further comments?  ar(s) maximum (500 characters left,	n-wide						
1. Would youresearch pro Yes 2. Do you 1	ou be in favour of future Europea ogrammes in metrology?  anave any further comments?  ar(s) maximum (500 characters left)	n-wide						

