

UCPM Survey to National CP

2. Identification

1. Please provide the following information

First Name

Paul

Last Name

Gelton

Job Title

Director Resilience

Organisation Name

National Coordinator for Security and Counterterrorism

Email Address

p.t.gelton@nctv.minvenj.nl

3. General background information

2. Which country do you represent?

Netherlands

3. Please specify which department and/or Ministry you belong to in your country.

Ministry of Security and Justice, National Coordinator for Security and Counterterrorism

4.

Has your country ever activated the UCPM?

Yes

5. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement: "When my country activated the UCPM, it was satisfied of the response and the support It received from the Union and the UCPM countries"

Agree

6. Has your country ever provided assistance through the UCPM?

Yes

**7. Is your organisation involved in the following areas of the UCPM?
(Multiple responses possible)**

Prevention: risk assessments, risk management, capability assessment peer reviews

Prevention: prevention and preparedness projects

General preparedness actions: Emergency Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) and Early warning systems

Preparedness: Voluntary Pool

Preparedness: training, exercises, lessons learnt and knowledge dissemination

Response: within the European Union

Response: outside the European Union

Response: deployments of experts and transport

Cross-cutting: Improving the knowledge base (i.e. Disaster Risk Management Knowledge Centre, Peer Reviews, Advisory missions, Expert exchange Missions, javascript:void(0)other meetings and workshops)

4. Prevention: risk assessments, risk management and capability assessments, peer reviews

8. Did your country submit a summary of the main elements of the National Risk Assessment, as per Article 6 of Decision 1313/2013?

Yes

**9. Please indicate to what extent to you agree with the following statement:
"The Commission Guidelines for the preparation of National Risk Assessments were helpful to prepare your National Risk Assessment."**

Agree

10. Was your department responsible for the coordination of the National Risk Assessment preparation process?

Yes

11. Which ministries and/or public agencies were consulted during the development of your National Risk Assessment? (Tick all that apply)

Ministry in charge of climate change

Ministry in charge of the environment

Ministry in charge of infrastructure (including transport)

Ministry in charge of Health

Ministry in charge of research and development

Other (Please specify): All NL Ministries are involved

Meteorological agency

12.

Which relevant stakeholders (in addition to Agencies and Ministries) were involved and/or consulted in the preparation process of the National Risk Assessment? (Tick all that apply)

Academics

Businesses

NGOs

13. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The development of your National Risk Assessment will be helpful to carry out your National Risk Management Capability assessment required in the framework of the UCPM Decision				X	
The preparation of your National Risk Assessment improved Disaster Risk Management in your country.				X	
The preparation of your National Risk Assessment improved Disaster Risk Management at Union level.			X		
The National Risk Assessment effectively informed the prioritisation of investments, prevention and planning.				X	
The National Risk Assessment is useful to improve understanding of risks and develop disaster risk reduction strategies , in line with the Sendai framework.				X	

5. General preparedness actions: Emergency Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) and Early warning systems

14. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The Emergency Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) supported the European Commission and national authorities in achieving the goals of the Decision, in particular: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
...improving cooperation between the civil protection and other relevant services		X			
...enhancing preparedness at Member State and Union level to respond to disasters			X		
...facilitating rapid and efficient response in the event of disasters or imminent disasters				X	
...increasing public awareness and preparedness for disasters		X			

15. If you disagreed or strongly disagreed with any of the statements above, please explain why and specify what can be improved.

This question is related to the early warning systems as mentioned. The systems as such are there and were used to monitor the situation for NL however they were not used to contact (and thereby to enhance cooperation with) other member states nor were they used to inform the public.

16. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The Commission's activities contributed to the development and better integration of detection/early warning/alert systems across the Union.		X			
The development of detection/early warning/alert systems across the Union has been relevant to the Union's civil protection and/or humanitarian response needs			X		

17. If you disagreed or strongly disagreed with any of the statements above, please explain why and specify what can be improved.

Yes commission contributed to the systems, however it is not clear if these systems were better integrated among the union.

6. Preparedness: Voluntary Pool

18. Does your country contribute to the Voluntary Pool?

No

19. Please explain and why not and whether you intend to contribute in the future.

This question is not correct/complete. NL contributes not only with modules but also with other capacities (which is not mentioned in this question) and in future also with individual experts. However the Commission has not yet started the process for individuals. The Commission should give more priority to speed up this process. We have the intention to register more (some) operational units for the voluntary pool. Communication on this subject has been started with the units involved.

The process of certification of modules/other capacities (and in future the individual experts) takes too much time.

7. Preparedness: training, exercises, lessons learnt and knowledge dissemination

20. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The training programme and related training courses are based on needs assessments/ diagnosis		X			
The most appropriate participants are nominated to for the training activities			X		
Training activities are effectively coordinated at Union level			X		

21. If you disagreed or strongly disagreed with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

The training programme is not (yet) arranged for the individual experts/other capacities (Voluntary Pool). There is need for a training programme for capacities which contribute to the Voluntary Pool.

22. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement:
The training programme achieved its specific objectives, namely: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
To enhance the coordination, compatibility and complementarity between capacities of countries			X		
To improve the competence of experts to enhance prevention, preparedness and disaster response			X		
To improve the quality in general of missions			X		

23. Please indicate to what extent you agree that
"The European Disaster Management (DM) training network is addressing the most critical training needs for European Civil Protection Authorities"

Agree

24. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The implementation of activities in the context of the European DM training network contributed to..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... enhancing all phases of disaster management			X		
... creating synergies among civil protection and emergency management personnel across Europe				X	
... developing guidance on civil protection training			X		

25. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Exercises covered the most appropriate/needed disaster scenarios for Europe			X		
The call for proposals for MODEX exercises attracted the appropriate target group (i.e. organisations developing and implementing exercises)					X

26. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"Exercises achieved their specific objective(s), namely: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... to improve the response capacity of countries;			X		
... to improve and verify the procedures, and establish a common approach for the coordination of assistance interventions under the UCPM and thus reduce the response time in major disasters;			X		
... to enhance cooperation between national civil protection services and the Commission ;			X		
... to identify and share lessons learnt ;			X		
... to test the implementation of lessons learnt			X		

8. Prevention: prevention and preparedness projects

27. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the statements below:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The prevention and preparedness projects funded via the UCPM are effectively addressing prevention needs identified at the National level			X		
The prevention and preparedness projects funded via the UCPM are effectively addressing prevention needs identified at the Union level			X		
The prevention and preparedness projects contributed to achieving a high level of prevention / preparedness against disasters for my country and beyond		X			
The end results of the preparedness projects funded by the UCPM were easily accessible to Civil Protection stakeholders and the general public in my/other countries		X			
The end results of the preparedness projects funded by the UCPM were easily applicable to my/other countries		X			

28. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

It is difficult to apply the prevention and preparedness projects to the Dutch situation. First, finding the selected projects require some effort, plus the results of the projects require more effort into locating them. Using the DRMKC as a central platform would be recommended. This links to projects contributing to achieving a high level of prevention / preparedness, since the known projects and their results are hard to find.

9. Disaster Response: within the Union

29. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

All relevant information provided to countries for deploying assistance (e.g. need assessment, logistical support available, assistance being offered by other Member States) was ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... comprehensive		X			
... sufficiently detailed		X			
... based on the needs on the ground		X			
... provided in a timely manner		X			

30. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

No information was provided on logistic support, therefor all question scored as disagree. For all other subjects were scored agree.

31. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The response coordination process is smooth and timely with regards to ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... notifications / requests for assistance from my country in the event of disasters or imminent disasters to the Commission					X
... informing other countries			X		
... activate the required response capacities		X			

32. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

Regarding notifications/RFA's from NL we did not have any.

Regarding activating the required reponse capacities for cases within the EU there is no evidence base.

33. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"There is demonstrable evidence (i.e. response time, quality of information received, etc.) that ERCC and CECIS contributed to ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... improved coordination between the Commission and the UCPM countries in civil protection assistance intervention in the event of major emergencies/ threats;			X		
... effective emergency response interventions in the event of major (or impending) disasters			X		
... efficient emergency response interventions in the event of major (or impending) disasters			X		
... flexible emergency response interventions in the event of major (or impending) disasters			X		
... rapid emergency response interventions in the event of major (or impending) disasters			X		
... supported and complemented the efforts of countries in the field of civil protection			X		

10. Response: outside the Union

34. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The response coordination process is smooth and timely with regards to ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... sending notification of the request for assistance to the country			X		
... undertaking, via the EU CP Team, a common assessment of the situation and needs		X			
... sending recommendations to the country for the provision of assistance					X
... liaising with all actors involved (including the UN, and other international organisations)			X		

35. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

We kindly refer to the ECA report on UCPM and coordination of disasters outside the EU.

36. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"All relevant information provided to countries for deploying assistance (e.g. needs assessment, logistical support available, assistance being offered by other Member States) was ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... comprehensive			X		
... sufficiently detailed			X		
... timely		X			

37. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

In the case of Haïti we lost precious time in getting information clear from the HAITI government and UCPT on what exactly are the needs.

11. Response: deployments of experts and transport

38. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the statements below:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The Terms of Reference (for selecting experts to be deployed) are clearly formulated		X			
Experts selected by the Commission are the most appropriate for the emergency response missions		X			
The UCPM responses to disasters are overall relevant to the needs of the affected country			X		
Assistance to countries by the Commission in identifying and facilitating access to transport is sufficient			X		
Assistance to countries by the Commission in identifying equipment available from other sources (including commercial market) is sufficient			X		
Administrative procedures in relation to transport support (including EU Co-financing, transit, customs, etc.) are smooth	X				

39. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

The quality of the Terms of Reference varies. More specific detailed information is needed in the Terms of Reference. This will improve the quality of selection.

To be able to comment on the quality of the selection process, more transparency about the choices (pros/cons) made within the process is required.

The procedure is old fashioned (e.g. using part A / B documents) and should be automated through CECIS as mentioned in the ECA report on the UCPM response outside the EU.

Further more, some investigation could be done on making pooled transport capacities of PS a new subdomain of the EERC/Voluntary pool, and automatically cofinance transport, instead of upon request, with an annual invoice/once a year administrative process. This makes the UCPM more effective, procedures smoother, and less unnecessary.

12. Cross Cutting: Improving the knowledge base

**40. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement:
"From 2014 to 2016, I was well aware of the advisory and knowledge-sharing activities funded by the UCPM, as listed below".**

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Workshops to exchange of experience & practices				X	
Peer reviews				X	
Advisory Missions				X	
Expert exchange				X	
DRM Knowledge Centre				X	

41. Please indicate in which of the advisory and knowledge-sharing activities funded by the UCPM you participated. (Multiple responses possible)

- Workshops to exchange experience & practices
- Peer reviews
- Advisory Missions
- DRM Knowledge Centre
- Expert exchange

42. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the statements below, regarding the peer review exercise in which you participated.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The peer review exercise built mutual learning and improved exchange of practices to strengthen prevention and preparedness capacities.			X		
The peer review helped influence new initiatives and/or reforms of the risk management processes in place.					X

43.

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the activities listed below:

“The knowledge-sharing activities funded by the UCPM (as listed below) were relevant and useful to address prevention needs at national level”.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Workshops to exchange experience & practices			X		
Peer reviews					X
DRM Knowledge Centre			X		
Advisory Missions			X		
Expert exchange			X		

44.

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the activities listed below:

“The knowledge-sharing activities listed below contributed to achieve a higher level of protection and resilience against disasters, by preventing or reducing their effects and by fostering a culture of prevention”

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Meetings / exchange of experience & practices			X		
Peer reviews					X
DRM Knowledge Centre			X		
Advisory Missions			X		
Expert exchange			X		

45. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement:
“The Disaster Risk Management Knowledge Centre aimed at improving the knowledge base on disaster risks and facilitate the sharing of knowledge, best practice and information helped national civil protection systems to cope with climate change impacts.”

Agree

46. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement for each of the items below:

“The lessons learned programme was appropriate for...”

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... identifying, gathering and analysing lessons learned			X		
... promoting lessons learned			X		
... incorporating lessons learned into day-to-day work			X		
... fostering a prevention culture across EU Member States			X		

47. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement for each of the different types of activities below: “The awareness raising activities below were useful to address needs at national level”

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Meetings / exchange of experience & practices			X		
Peer reviews					X
Advisory Missions			X		
Expert exchange			X		
Specific dissemination actions to the general public					X

48. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement for each of the items below:

“The awareness raising, public information and education activities effectively contributed to increased awareness of...”

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... risk prevention in general public					X
... the civil protection work at national level					X
... civil protection work at Union level			X		
... the assistance delivered through the UCPM			X		

13. Overall performance of the UCPM: objectives met

49. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

“The UCPM contributed to improving the cooperation within Europe for ...”

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Disaster prevention		X			
Disaster preparedness		X			
Disaster response			X		

50. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

Although successful attempts were being made with respect to prevention and preparedness under the UCPM flag, attention and focus is still on Response. More balance in the three pillars is needed.

51. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the statements below:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The UCPM enhances the preparedness of the country and the EU to respond to disasters			X		
The UCPM contributes to increasing public awareness and preparedness for disaster					X
The UCPM facilitates efficient response to disasters			X		
The UCPM facilitates rapid response to disasters		X			

52. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

The UCPM facilitates to a certain extent rapid as well as efficient response to disaster. Apart from a question if it also facilitates effective response to disaster, one could say that in disaster response there is Always room for more efficiency and rapidness, as well as effectiveness. Which goes for national systems as well as for international systems like UCPM.

53. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The achievement of the UCPM specific objectives was hindered by external factors, such as...":

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Increasing frequency and impact of disasters		X			
The refugee crisis		X			
Budget cuts at national level	X				
Complex administrative procedures			X		

54. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

More focus on the UCPM prevention objectives will minimize external factors. And, there were no budget cuts on national level hindering the UCPM.

55. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The achievement of the UCPM specific objectives was hindered by internal factors, such as...":

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The UCPM financial breakdown (specific allocations for prevention, preparedness and response)					X
Cooperation procedures					X
Information exchange systems					X
Financial and human resources allocated					X

14. Overall performance of the UCPM: administrative and financial procedures

56. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The following activities have been carried out in an efficient manner (the time frame and procedures were respected, and the intended results were delivered and/or are on course to be delivered)":

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Development of the National risk assessment			X		
Development of the National Risk Management Capability assessment			X		
Implementation of prevention projects			X		
Disaster Risk Management Knowledge Centre			X		
Peer Reviews			X		
Advisory missions			X		
Expert exchange Missions, other meetings and workshops			X		
Communication actions and awareness raising measures			X		
Other advisory and knowledge sharing activities			X		
Registering civil protection experts			X		
Registering modules		X			
Certifying modules		X			
Training courses			X		
Disaster Management (DM) training network			X		
Full scale exercises			X		
Module exercises			X		
Lessons learned programme			X		
Prevention projects			X		
Preparedness projects			X		
Deploying experts within the European Union		X			
Deploying modules within the European Union		X			
Deploying experts outside the European Union		X			
Deploying modules outside the European Union		X			
Financing the transport of modules deployed		X			
Financing the transport of experts deployed		X			
Other logistical activities		X			

57. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

Overall, administrative procedures are too complex and take too much time. Which make things inefficient. Apart from that a similar question on "effective" is missing here.

58. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The funding allocated to cover the participation of your country in the following activities was sufficient to cover your costs":

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Development of the National risk assessment			X		
Development of the National Risk Management Capability assessment			X		
Implementation of prevention projects			X		
Disaster Risk Management Knowledge Centre			X		
Peer Reviews			X		
Advisory missions			X		
Expert exchange Missions, other meetings and workshops			X		
Communication actions and awareness raising measures			X		
Other advisory and knowledge sharing activities			X		
Registering civil protection experts			X		
Registering modules			X		
Certifying modules			X		
Training courses			X		
Disaster Management (DM) training network			X		
Full scale exercises			X		
Module exercises			X		
Lessons learned programme			X		
Prevention projects			X		
Preparedness projects			X		
Deploying experts within the European Union			X		
Deploying modules within the European Union			X		
Deploying experts outside the European Union			X		
Deploying modules outside the European Union			X		
Financing the transport of modules deployed			X		
Financing the transport of experts deployed			X		
Other logistical activities			X		

59. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The cost-effectiveness of the UCPM activities (i.e. comparing the costs and outcomes of an activity) of the UCPM activities is hindered by the following internal and external factors to the UCPM: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Internal factor: Operational obstacles in cooperating with other countries					X
Internal factor: Budget cuts at national level					X
External factor: Insufficient use of internal guidelines					X
External factor: Administrative obstacles linked to the different types of financial interventions					X

60. In your opinion, the overall administrative burden and its associated costs to implement the UCPM Decision are:

High (please elaborate): Don't know: There is no evidence relating to cost-effectiveness. There is need for more insight and or research to cost-effectiveness of the UCPM activities. Also a research needs to be done on the cost-effectiveness of civil protection activities in comparison to humanitarian aid activities.

61. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

The indicators listed below, applied for monitoring, evaluation and review, are adequate to monitor the results achieved by the UCPM":

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Number of Member States that have made available to the Commission a summary of their risk assessments and an assessment of their risk management capability			X		
Quantity of response capacities included in the voluntary pool in relation to the capacity goals and the number of modules registered in the CECIS			X		
Speed of interventions under the UCPM and the extent to which the assistance contributes to the needs on the ground			X		
Level of awareness of Union citizens of the risks in their region			X		

15. Overall performance of the UCPM: Relevance

62. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The priorities of the three UCPM pillars listed below are relevant to address the current civil protection needs":

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Disaster Prevention				X	
Disaster Preparedness				X	
Disaster Response				X	

63. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below: "The UCPM activities are flexible in adapting to emerging and unanticipated needs in the following pillars: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Disaster Prevention		X			
Disaster Preparedness		X			
Disaster Response		X			

64. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below: "Scientific and technological research and development is sufficiently integrated in the functioning and activities of the UCPM: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Disaster Prevention			X		
Disaster Preparedness			X		
Disaster Response			X		

65. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement: "The issues addressed by the UCPM continue to require action at the EU level".

Agree

66. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements in this page, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

Administration of every UCPM activity hinders flexibility.

16. Overall performance of the UCPM: Coherence

67. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the statements below:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
The UCPM has promoted sufficient linkages and synergies between the main stages of the disaster risk management cycle (response-recovery-reconstruction-prevention-preparedness)			X		
Synergies and complementarities are established with response activities carried out by the requesting countries			X		

**68. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement:
"The Commission contributed to effectively establish and ensure such synergies"**

Disagree

69. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

The UCPM interventions, actions and services are coordinated with, and complementary to, interventions, actions and services carried out by: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
... my country			X		
... other countries			X		
... other EU actors		X			
... international organisations working in civil protection/disaster risk management (e.g. UN agencies, NGOs)			X		

**70. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement:
"The Commission contributed to effectively establish and ensure such synergies"**

Disagree

71. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the items below:

"The UCPM has a good level of cooperation and coordination with the following sectors, activities and EU funds: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Humanitarian Aid			X		
Health related policies			X		
Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (e.g. maritime pollution and cost-guard cooperation)		X			
Development and Cooperation		X			
European Neighbourhood Policy		X			
Climate Change policies		X			
Environmental policies		X			
Mobility and Transport (critical infrastructure protection, safety standards, risk assessments)		X			
Security (policies in anti-terrorism, law enforcement cooperation)		X			
Defence		X			
The Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund					
The Internal Security Fund		X			
Decision 1082/2013/EU on serious cross-border threats to health			X		

72. If you disagreed (or strongly disagreed) with any of the statements above, please explain why, and specify what can be improved.

For more synergy and to avoid duplication in policy more effort need to be in cooperation with the other EU domains.

17. Overall performance of the UCPM: EU added value

73. In your opinion, in the absence of the UCPM funding UCPM activities most likely would:

Be more fragmented

74. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each of the UCPM pillars below:

"The actions funded under the three UCPM pillar below bring EU Added value compared to what could have been achieved via other modalities (e.g. bilateral actions, disaster relief NGOs, International Organisations)."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Disaster prevention			X		
Disaster preparedness			X		
Disaster response			X		

18. Overall performance of the UCPM: Sustainability

75.

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statement, for each activity:

"The activities listed below, which are needed to achieve sustainability, are sufficiently carried out in my country: ..."

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Awareness raising activities to disseminate information on the results of the UCPM activities			X		
Knowledge sharing activities to increase the knowledge and skills at national and local level to implement activities outside the context of the UCPM			X		
Meetings and/or events with national and regional/local authorities to stress the importance of outputs and results			X		
Streamlining of funding with other relevant areas to avoid duplication and overlap			X		

76. Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements, regarding the results of sustainability-related activities in your Participatory State:

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
Capacity building activities have had a multiplier effect			X		
The lessons learned, feedback and good practices from UCPM activities actually led to improvements in day-to-day work			X		
My country successfully transferred best practices/key principles/ lessons learned into legislation, policy or standard practices			X		
Funding from other sources than civil protection budgets was secured to further fund activities			X		

19. Any further comments

77. Please state here any further issues you would wish to comment on:

Comments regarding this on-line questionnaire: Unfortunately there is only space for comments in case we 'disagree' with the statements. There should also be room in case we 'agree' with the statements being made. For this reason we have made use of the opportunity to fill in 'disagree' in stead of 'agree' just to give an explanation. We have discovered that some questions were uncomplete and not always very clear how to interpret it and some questions were suggestive.